1 (Case called)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Kim.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Is the government ready to proceed?

MR. TEHRANI: Yes. Good morning, your Honor. Daniel Tehrani, Rebecca Marmelstein, and Brendan Quigley for the government. With us at counsel table is Postal Inspector Jin

THE COURT: Thank you.

For Mr. Jacoby, are you ready to proceed?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, your Honor. William Johnson from King Spalding on behalf of Mr. Jacoby who is with me at counsel table.

THE COURT: Welcome, everyone.

So, Mr. Johnson, have you and your client both read the presentence report?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with each other?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, we have.

THE COURT: Do you have any objections to it other than what might be contained in your written sentencing submissions to me?

MR. JOHNSON: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

The presentence report is made a part of this record and placed under seal. If an appeal is taken, counsel on appeal may have access to the sealed report without further

<sup>12</sup> € 17-cr-00676-DLC Document 19 Filed 02/27/18 Page 3 of 13

application to this Court.

2.3

There is agreement here that the offense level is 9, the criminal history category is I, and the guidelines range is 4 to 10. I've reviewed all of those calculations and adopted them as my own.

I have submissions from the parties. I have the government's sentencing submission filed on January 26, and I have the defendant's sentencing submission of January 19. Some personal sensitive information has been redacted from the publicly filed document.

Have you, Mr. Johnson, provided the redacted material to Ms. Rojas for filing under seal?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes. I need to double-check that, your Honor. I know we provided it to chambers. I need to make sure that it's in your file.

THE COURT: If you could coordinate with my deputy after this to make sure that everything has been filed appropriately in this court.

There is a request here for a non-guideline sentence based on the defendant's health and also arguments with respect to the fact that this conduct was aberrational, given his life story. I've read the materials. I'm prepared to hear from the parties.

Mr. Tehrani, did you wish to add to the government's sentencing submission?

MR. TEHRANI: No, your Honor. As we set forth in our submission, we obviously view this as a very serious offense. It is an officer of a public company who not only lied but fabricated documentation in order to deceive auditors. We believe that's conduct that warrants serious punishment.

But rather than belabor the arguments that we made in our sentencing submission, unless the Court has any particular question, we're prepared to rest on our submission.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Johnson.

2.3

MR. JOHNSON: Your Honor, if I could address the Court. I just recently had some surgery. My voice is not --could I use the podium?

THE COURT: Absolutely.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you.

I'll try to be brief, your Honor. We are seeking a sentence of probation. We think that the essence of the offense here is that Mr. Jacoby regrettably prepared a one-page back-dated memo to support recognition of revenue that was subsequently given to his auditor.

We think the key point is that he has fully acknowledged that was improper. He has not been charged with accounting fraud. The government investigated that, and we think that the circumstances do indicate that at least he believed, even if he was wrong, that he had a good-faith basis

2.3

for believing the timing of the recognition of the revenue does not justify what he did and only explains his reasons for doing that.

But I do want to address the strength of the government's arguments in response to our request for a non-guideline sentence. They say that his conduct was particularly pernicious and have compared it to Enron, WorldCom, and Tyco.

I happen to know obviously that this Court presided over the WorldCom massive civil litigation that resulted in historic settlements. I personally tried the WorldCom criminal case. This case is not WorldCom, and it's not Enron.

Mr. Jacoby, respectfully -- he's not Scott Sullivan or Andy
Fastow. I won't belabor it, but that's not even close.

He shouldn't have done what he did. It is a clear aberration. I think, again, as a way of explaining, he believed he had a basis based on verbal discussions with the distributor.

We're not here to try that. He was not charged with accounting fraud, and we don't think that he should be sentenced based on a belief that he engaged in accounting fraud.

The company settled with the SEC for \$1.5 million.

Again, this is not an Enron or WorldCom situation. We think

based on the facts as they played out, the memo, as bad as it

2.3

was, as a mistake in judgment as it was, ultimately criminal as it was, had no effect on the company.

The very next day after Mr. Jacoby submitted the memo, the company came out with a press release disavowing the revenue. They had already decided what they were going to do, and in public companies, 24 hours is not a long enough time to evaluate all the circumstances of accounting issues.

They had already done that before. They knew what they were going to do. They gave him one last chance to justify it. He gave them what he gave them, they didn't believe it, and they went the other way.

The government says in its memo that it doesn't matter that he was unsuccessful in deceiving the auditors. I guarantee you that if he had deceived them for a year or more or longer, they would be standing here in front of you telling you that you should sentence him to a longer sentence, or they would be arguing it stronger because of the length of that deception. It didn't happen here in that way.

With respect to Mr. Jacoby's personal circumstances, we submit that the information in the PSR and the information we've submitted show that this crime was truly an aberration in his life, and I don't think the government disputes this point.

He has letters from friends and colleagues that show he's a kind and generous man. He's a hard-working professional who took not just pride in his own work but in the work that

2

his company was doing to help provide and develop therapeutic products for patients.

3

4

5

6 the charge. He has no criminal history.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

2.3

24

25

He has fully accepted responsibility here. He entered a preindictment plea and did not waste the government's time when they approached us and readily agreed to plead quilty to

We think that separate and apart from the Court's view and the government's view about the accounting revenue questions, his declining health is an important sentencing consideration that we believe, in combination with the other factors, would suggest that probation is appropriate.

Incarceration would likely exacerbate his condition. The probation department seems to agree. There is no risk of him being a recidivist for many reasons but certainly because of his health.

He was medically retired from Osiris before this case came up. His health has declined since then. We think that he has learned his lesson. Even regardless of that, he will never be in a position to do something like this again.

With respect to the point that I think is the most in contention, general deterrence, we don't think that a sentence of incarceration is required to serve that purpose.

The government says that a minimal sentence would shake the public's confidence in the integrity of the public I think the facts of this case don't support that

1 | extreme statement.

If there really is anyone out there who is watching this case and considering how to interact with a public company auditor, we think they've already gotten the message, if you lie, the government will pursue you, they will charge you, and you will be a felon for the rest of your life, and you will lose your career in the process.

We certainly don't think, as the government submits, that a reasonable person would look at all the facts here and actually be emboldened to commit a crime were Mr. Jacoby be sentenced to probation.

So, for all those reasons, we would request that the Court sentence him to probation. With respect to other portions of sentencing as to a fine, probation has recommended a fine of \$10,000. We'd ask that a lesser amount be imposed, if the Court is inclined to do so.

As we noted in our memo, he is a defendant in an SEC action, as well as multiple other parallel suits. There he faces potential judgments and other financial penalties.

Even putting those aside, he will face significant legal costs. After he pleaded guilty, his company refused to pay several months of past legal bills for our firm and has cut off any further advancement and indemnification of fees in connection with all of his other litigation.

As the Court is aware and as the PSR states, he and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Jacoby, is there anything that you wish to say to me on your behalf in connection with your sentence? Sir, if you want to remain seated, you may.

THE DEFENDANT: I'll stand. Thank you, your Honor.

I would like to say that I'm truly sorry for what I've

## 12@45417-cr-00676-DLC Document 19 Filed 02/27/18 Page 10 of 13

done. I know that it's wrong to submit a back-dated memo to the auditors, and I don't really have a good answer for why I've done this.

The best I can say -- this is just a reason, but it's not an excuse -- is that I wrote the memo because I was really frustrated with the auditors, BDO. We had honestly briefed BDO of the details of the transactions in question during their audit before the PCAOB reviewed. They signed off on it, and the audit was completed without any problems related to that transaction.

I know that people have since disagreed with how we accounted for that revenue, and I thought it was proper to book it when we did because I thought I had at least a verbal agreement on all the important terms of the transaction.

I got extremely frustrated with BDO questioning months and months after they initially reviewed it and apparently found no problems with the accounting. I let my frustrations get the better of my judgment, but I know that's not an excuse, and I have no one but myself to blame for this.

I should not have done what I did, no matter what the circumstances. I've tried to lead an honest life and have an honorable career. I've been able to do that up until this incident. I still can't believe I let myself do something like this, and I'm appalled and ashamed that I did.

It's been difficult for me to come to grips with this,

## <sup>12</sup>ease 1.7-cr-00676-DLC Document 19 Filed 02/27/18 Page 11 of 13

2.3

particularly because I'm not healthy enough to work anymore and I won't have the opportunity to earn back the trust of people in the way I know best, working hard, helping people, and trying to do the right thing.

I would like to thank my family and friends who have supported me. I've had a really hard time talking about this and didn't even let them know about it until very recently.

I've been truly touched by the letters of support from them, and I want to apologize for letting them down.

I have learned an extremely important and valuable lesson and wish all of this had never happened. I understand you need to impose a sentence, and I simply ask for the mercy of the Court. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Jacoby, I am going to impose a sentence of time served to be followed by a term of supervised release to follow of two years.

Besides the standard conditions, I'm going to require that the additional condition be that you pay a fine of \$10,000. I decline to reduce the fine amount below that recommended by the probation department. I think that payment of a fine here is an important component of the sentence. And I impose a special assessment of \$100.

You may be seated. I want to explain why I'm imposing the sentence that I am.

It's absolutely criminal and wrong from every

perspective to fabricate a document; to involve a third party, the distributor, in the fraudulent conduct; to mislead auditors; to essentially ultimately understand that a false record will have an impact on the financial standing of the company, and it's a public company. So the ramifications, the ripple effect of this kind of fraud, are significant.

However, I am very influenced here by your health.

I'm very influenced by the scope of the criminal activity, how long it lasted, the nature of it, compared to the entirety of your career.

I'm glad that you were prosecuted for this. I'm glad the extent of the criminal conduct is spread on the record and available for others to learn from. The penalty at this point in your life is one that you will suffer from, perhaps, for the rest of your life as the impact of this plays out in a variety of ways.

Do I need to send you to prison also? No. There is no reason here, in terms of individual deterrence, to send you to prison. I am convinced that even if you were working, you would never do this again.

In terms of appropriate punishment, given the context of everything I've just described, the punishment I'm imposing is sufficient and appropriate.

In terms of general deterrence, well, that is a problem because this is serious criminal activity. It deserves

to be punished. People need to be deterred. This is wrongful. But that's only one component here of a sentence.

I thought about that, and weighing your age and health and all of the challenges that you face because of your health, the fact that you're working days are over, the fact that this is the only criminal activity for which you will have been convicted in a long working career — all of those factors tell me that while a fine is appropriate, a financial penalty is appropriate, sending you to prison at this time would not be appropriate.

I want to advise you of your right to appeal. If you're unable to pay the cost of an appeal, you may apply for leave to appeal in forma pauperis. Any notice of appeal must be filed within 14 days of the judgment of conviction.

Mr. Tehrani, are there any open counts?

MR. TEHRANI: There are not, your Honor.

THE COURT: With respect to payment of the fine, it should be paid within 60 days. I'm declining to impose a special term of supervised release related to alcohol use.

I think that the defendant is under the supervision of several physicians for serious health conditions. They are the persons most directly involved with his healthcare, including any abuse of substances. I leave it to them to take care of Mr. Jacoby's health situation.

Thank you, all.